

6. Consultation and References

6. Consultation and References

Interagency Review

The NPS and Trust prepared the Trails Plan/EA concurrently with other applicable environmental reviews or consultation as required under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 USC 1536), Section 307 of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 USC 1456) and the implementing Federal Regulations in 15 CFR Part 930, and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 USC 470f). To comply with these requirements, the NPS and Trust actively solicited the participation of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, and the California State Historic Preservation Officer. The views of these agencies, which have been integrated into the Trails Plan/EA, are discussed below. Copies of all relevant correspondence are available for review as part of the formal public record.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

On November 25, 2001, the Trust and the NPS requested formal consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, concerning the Trails Plan. Prior to initiation of formal consultation, NPS and Trust representatives met and toured the Presidio with the USFWS on November 6, 2000 to discuss and orient the parties to the Trails Plan. The Trust and NPS again met with USFWS staff on May 17, 2002 to preliminarily discuss effects of the proposed plan. In the time between the initial meeting and request for formal consultation, the Trust and NPS corresponded verbally and in writing with the USFWS to review and discuss the proposed plan and consultation requirements. On July 23, 2002, the USFWS issued its Biological Opinion on the effects of the Trails Plan/EA on the endangered Raven's manzanita, San Francisco lessingia, Presidio clarkia, and the threatened Marin dwarf flax. After reviewing the current status of these plants, the environmental baseline for the action area, the effects of the plan and the cumulative effects, the biological opinion concluded that the Trails Plan, as proposed, will not jeopardize the continued existence of these species or adversely affect critical habitat of these species. The Biological Opinion also noted that, "in addition to habitat restoration, the Trails Plan will benefit native plant communities, including federally listed plants, and wildlife by managing human access and redirecting access away from sensitive habitat areas." Since issuance of the Biological Opinion by USFWS, the NPS and Trust have apprised the USFWS of several changes to the Preferred Alternative in response to public comments, which have resulted in a long-term beneficial effect (i.e., a net gain of 86.6 sm [932 sf] of proposed future habitat for the San Francisco lessingia). Due to the beneficial nature of these changes, it was determined that no further formal consultation was required.

San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission

As the coastal management agency for the San Pursuant to the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) is required to review Federal projects which could affect the coastal zone and determine whether the project is consistent with the BCDC's Amended Coastal Zone Management Program for San Francisco Bay. On November 15, 2002, the NPS and Trust submitted a description of the Trails Plan/EA and requested that the Commission concur that the plan is consistent with the BCDC's Amended Coastal Zone Management Program for the San Francisco Bay segment of the California coastal zone. Based on the information contained in those materials, on February 20, 2003, the BCDC considered and found that the Trails Plan is consistent with the provisions of the McAteer-Petris Act and the policies of the San Francisco Bay Plan. The BCDC's Letter of Concurrence included the following statements:

...[I]mplementation of the plan would involve the placement of small amounts of materials and the substantial change in use of areas such that the placement, extraction, or change in use would not have a significant adverse effect on present or possible future maximum feasible public access to the Bay consistent with the project, on present or possible future use for a designated priority water-related use, and on the environment, as defined in Commission Regulation Section 10601(b)(1) and thus is equivalent to a "minor repair and improvement." In addition, San Francisco Bay Plan Map No. 4 identifies the Presidio as a park priority use area and contains a policy that states "[i]f and when not needed by Army, retain at least shoreline and undeveloped areas as regional park." (Recreation Policy 5(a) and Bay Plan Map No. 4, Policy No. 24). The implementation of the Trails Plan would be consistent with the Bay Plan Map notes by encouraging recreational use of the Presidio.

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation/ California State Historic Preservation Officer

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966 requires the NPS and the Trust to take into account the effect of their undertakings on historic and cultural resources, including the National Historic Landmark District (NHLD). The NPS and the Trust each entered into programmatic agreements (PA) with the ACHP and the SHPO that apply to all undertakings under their jurisdictions. The PAs provide a framework for reviewing the project effects internally and for consulting with other parties under certain circumstances.

NPS and Trust staff reviewed the Trails Plan/EA and determined that the proposed undertaking will not have an adverse effect on historic properties that contribute to the significance of the NHLD, because all work will be in keeping with the Secretary of Interior's Standards for the Rehabilitation of Cultural Landscapes and Historic Properties and will conform to the Standards, Principles and Planning District Guidelines of the Presidio Trust Management Plan to the maximum extent possible. The NPS and the Trust are committed to conducting additional NHPA review within their separate jurisdictions as necessary at the time individual trail segments are designed and proposed for funding. On February 24, 2003, the NPS and the Trust submitted the Trails Plan/EA to the ACHP

and SHPO with a request for concurrence with this determination. The NPS and Trust supplemented this information with the record of public commentary during the public review period. On March 18, 2003, the Trust and NPS held a telephone conference with the SHPO (ACHP could not be present). Based on review of the information, SHPO staff (and later, ACHP staff) concurred with the NPS and Trust finding that there will be no adverse effect to historic properties caused by this undertaking. In a follow-up letter memorializing the course of the consultation, the SHPO thanked the NPS and Trust staff for "preparing an informative and responsive consultation package for this undertaking and for committing your agencies to carrying out all measures needed to secure the validity of the 'no adverse effect' finding when individual actions are implemented in accordance with the final Trails and Bikeways Plan."

List of Persons and Agencies Consulted

Valary Bloom, Coast-Bay-Delta Branch, Endangered Species Program, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Carla Chenault, Project Analyst, California State Coastal Conservancy

Jane Crisler, Historic Preservation Specialist, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

Mike Fris, Deputy Assistant Field Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Oliver Gajda, Assistant Bicycle Program Manager, City and County of San Francisco Department of Parking and Traffic

Andrea M. Gaut, Coastal Program Analyst, San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission

Don Hankins, Biologist, Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Harvey Katz, Senior Planner, Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District

Hans Kreutzberg, Chief, Review and Compliance, California State Historic Preservation Office

Joseph E. LaClair, Senior Planner, San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission

Lindy L. Lowe, Coastal Planner, San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission

Michael Sallaberry, Assistant Transportation Engineer, Bicycle Program, City and County of San Francisco Department of Parking and Traffic

David Snyder, Executive Director, San Francisco Bicycle Coalition

Laura Thompson, Bay Trail Planner, Association of Bay Area Governments

Holly Van Houten, Executive Director, Bay Area Ridge Trail Council

Alan Zahradnik, Director of Planning, Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District

List of Preparers

National Park Service, Golden Gate National Recreation Area

Andrea Lucas, Landscape Architect, Project Manager

Garrett Lee, Natural Resources Specialist

Wendy Poinsot, Environmental Protection Specialist

Heather Marashi, Environmental Protection Specialist

Michelle Rios, Architect

Pat Sacks, Landscape Architect

Presidio Trust

Chris Ottaway, Landscape Architect, Project Manager

Mark Helmbrecht, Senior Transportation Planner

Sharon Farrell, Natural Resources Planner

Ben Jones, GIS Specialist

Jennifer Knauer, Landscape Architect

Allison Stone, Environmental Planner

Moore lacofano Goltsman, Inc.

Lawrence Wight, ASLA, Project Director

Sally McIntyre, Consulting Principal

Laurel Kelly, ASLA, Landscape Architect

Sharon McNamee, Planner

Environmental Science Associates

David J. Full, AICP, Project Director

Tina M. Ogawa, Project Manager

References

American Society of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO)
1999 Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities. Washington, DC: AASHTO Task Force on Geometric Design.

Arnold, R.A.

1983 "Ecological Studies of Six Endangered Butterflies (Lepidoptera: Lycaenidae);" "Island Biogeography, Patch Dynamics and the Design of Habitat Preserves." *Entomology* 99:1-161.

Bay Area Air Quality Management District

1999 CEQA Guidelines.

2000 Final Bay Area 2000 Clean Air Plan.

Bellrose, F.C.

1976 Ducks, Geese & Swans of North America. Harrisburg, PA: Stackpole Books.

California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG)

2000 California Natural Diversity Data Base for 7.5 minute topographic quadrangle San Francisco North. Accessed June 2000.

California Department of Transportation (CalTrans)

2001 "Chapter 1000: Bikeway Planning and Design." In Highway Design Manual. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Transportation.

California Division of Mines and Geology

1997 Guidelines for Evaluating and Mitigating Seismic Hazards in California. Special Publication 117.

2000 Seismic Hazard Zones, Official Map, City and County of San Francisco, California, Release Date: November 17, 2000.

California Office of Historic Preservation

2003 Letter to Cherilyn Widell, Presidio Trust and Ric Borjes, GGNRA/NPS. San Francisco, CA, March 18.

Castellini, L.

1999 Tennessee Hollow Wetland Delineation: Planning Recommendations for Riparian Corridor Restoration of the Presidio of San Francisco. Prepared for Wetland Ecology and Management.

2001 "Draft Presidio of San Francisco Wetland Delineation: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Jurisdictional Wetlands in Area B." Prepared for the Presidio Trust and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

Chasse, M.

2001 "New rare plant population found." California Native Plant Society Yerba Buena News, *Yerba Buena News*, 15(2).

Cooper, W.S.

1967 Coastal Dunes of California. Denver, CO: Geologic Society of America, Memoir 104.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter., F. Golet., and E.T Roe

1979 Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. Prepared for the United States Fish and Wildlife Service.

Dames and Moore

1994 Presidio of San Francisco Storm Water Management Plan. Prepared for the National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior.

Hart, E. W.

1997 Fault-Rupture Hazard Zones in California: Alquist-Priolo Special Studies Zones Act of 1972 with Index to Special Studies Zones Maps. California Division of Mines and Geology, Special Publication 42.

Holland, R.F.

1986 Preliminary Descriptions of the Terrestrial Natural Communities of California. Sacramento, CA: Department of Fish and Game.

Jones and Stokes Associates, Inc.

1997 Presidio of San Francisco Natural Resource Inventory and Vegetation Management Options (JSA 93-168). San Francisco, CA: Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

Mayer, K.E. and W.F. Laudenslayer, (eds.)

1988 A Guide to Wildlife Habitats of California. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection.

Munz, P.A. and D.D. Keck

1970 A California Flora with Supplement. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

National Park Service (NPS), U.S. Department of the Interior

- 1991 Natural Resources Management Guidelines. NPS-77. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of the Interior.
- 1992 Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties.. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of the Interior.
- 1993 Natural Resources Management. San Francisco, CA: Golden Gate National Recreation Area.
- 1994a Final General Management Plan Amendment and Environmental Impact Statement. San Francisco, CA: Presidio of San Francisco, Golden Gate National Recreation Area.
- 1994b "The National Park Service's Presidio of San Francisco National Historical Landmark Programmatic Agreement." San Francisco, CA.
- 1995 Vegetation Cutting and Removal Standard Operating Procedure. Procedure Number 607. San Francisco, CA: Golden Gate National Recreation Area.
- 1996a Landscaping and Irrigation Management Plan. Prepared by Dames and Moore. San Francisco, CA: The Presidio of San Francisco.
- 1996b The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties with Guidelines for the Treatment of Cultural Landscapes. Washington, DC.
- 1998 Long Range Interpretive Plan: The Golden Gate National Parks. Working Draft.
- 1999a Natural Resources Section of the Resources Management Plan. San Francisco, CA: Golden Gate National Recreation Area.
- 1999b 1999 Presidio Trails Survey Data.
- 1999c "Special Status Species Monitoring. Golden Gate National Recreational Area." San Francisco, CA. [unpublished].
- 1999e Wetland Vegetation Occurring in the Presidio, CA. Prepared by Lara Wood. San Francisco, CA: Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

- 2000a Director's Order 12 Conservation Planning, Environmental Impact Analysis and Decision-making.
- 2000b Golden Gate Recreation Area Special Status Species Monitoring Report. San Francisco, CA: Golden Gate National Recreational Area.
- 2001a Alcatraz Island Historic Preservation and Safety Construction Program Draft Environmental Impact Statement. San Francisco, CA: Golden Gate National Recreation Area.
- 2001b Management Policies 2001.

NPS, U.S. Department of the Interior, Presidio Trust

- 1999b Presidio of San Francisco Vegetation Management Plan and Environmental Assessment. San Francisco, CA.
- 2003 Determination of No Adverse Effect for Presidio Trails and Bikeway Plan Area A and B of the Presidio of San Francisco.

Oakeshott, G.

1977 California's Changing Landscapes: A Guide to the Geology of the State. Columbus, OH: McGraw Hill Higher Education.

Olofson, P. R., (ed.)

2000 Baylands Ecosystem Species and Community Profiles: Life histories and environmental requirements of key plants, fish and wildlife. Prepared for the San Francisco Bay Area Wetlands Ecosystem Goals Project. Oakland, CA: San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board.

Peccia, Robert, & Associates

- 1993 Presidio Transportation Planning Summary. Prepared for the National Park Service, Denver Service Center. Denver, CO.
- 1994 Presidio Transportation Planning Summary. Prepared for the National Park Service, Denver Service Center. Denver, CO.

Poague, K. L., R. J. Johnson, and L. J. Young

2000 "Bird Use of Rural and Urban Converted Railroad Rights-Of-Way In Southeast Nebraska." Wildlife Society Bulletin 28:852-864.

Presidio Trust

- 2000a Final Environmental Impact Statement and Planning Guidelines for New Development and Uses on 23 Acres within the Letterman Complex.
- 2000b Mountain Lake Enhancement Plan and Environmental Assessment.
- 2001a Presidio of San Francisco Biological Assessment.
- 2001b Memorandum from James Meadows, Executive Director, Presidio Trust, and Brian O'Neill, General Superintendent, GGNRA, San Francisco, CA, to J. C. Kinight, Chief, Endangered Species Division, San Francisco, CA. November 26.
- 2002a Presidio Trust Management Plan: Land Use Policies for Area B of the Presidio of San Francisco and Final Environmental Impact Statement.
- 2002b Presidio Water Recycling Project Environmental Assessment.

2002c "Programmatic Agreement Among the Presidio Trust, National Park Service, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and the California State Preservation Officer Regarding the Presidio Trust Implementation Plan and Various Operation and Maintenance Activities for Area B of the Presidio of San Francisco." San Francisco, CA: Golden Gate National Recreation Area.

Rundel, Philip W., and Shari B. Stuner

1998 "Native Plant Diversity in Riparian Communities of the Santa Monica Mountains, California." *Madrono*, 45(2): 93-100.

San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission

2003 Letter of Concurrence for Consistency Determination No. CN 13-02, Presidio Trails and Bikeways Master Plan. February 24.

U.S. Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board

1999 Recommendations for Accessibility Guidelines: Outdoor Developed Areas.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

1990 Base Closure Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Presidio of San Francisco.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)

- 1989 Concept Plan for Waterfowl Habitat Protection. Portland, OR: U. S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service.
- 1998 Recovery Plan for Serpentine Soil Species of the San Francisco Bay Area. Portland, OR.
- 2000 Letter from Ecological Services Branch, Sacramento Field Office, San Francisco County, California. Ref. No. 1-1-00-SP-2932. September 21.
- 2001 Draft Recovery Plan for Coastal Plants of the Northern San Francisco Peninsula. Portland, OR: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- 2002 Memorandum from Acting Field Supervisor, Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office, Sacramento, CA to Superintendent, GGNRA, NPS, San Francisco, California. San Francisco, CA. July 23.

U.S. Geological Survey (USGS)

1999 "Working Group on California Earthquake Probabilities (WG99)." In Earthquake Probabilities in the San Francisco Bay Region: 2000-2030 - A Summary of Findings, Open-File Report 99-517.

Wagstaff, F.R.

1938 "The Flora of the San Francisco Sand Dunes: Its composition and adaptations." MA Thesis. Berkeley, CA: University of California at Berkeley.

Zeiner, D.C., W.F. Laudenslayer, and K.E. Mayer

1988-1990 California's Wildlife, Vols I-III. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Fish and Game.